

Appl. No. 10/799,913  
Amdt. Dated March 30, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of February 17, 2006

Docket No. CM06187H  
Customer No. 22917

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Advisory Action dated 17 February 2006 where the Examiner requests a further amendment clarifying the synchronization and signaling fields, Applicants file herewith amendment and Request for Continued Examination. As such, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to require that "wherein the field is the synchronization field in a first burst of the plurality of bursts in a superframe and the field is the signaling field in a remainder of the plurality of bursts in the superframe."

Applicants believe that the claims as amended overcome the Examiner's rejection of Claim 1. Applicants pray that an early notice of allowance will issue.

If there any other issues that need to be addressed, the examiner is asked to telephone Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.

1303 East Algonquin Road  
IL01/3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Schaumburg, IL 60196  
Customer Number: 22917

Attachments

By: 

Indira Saladi  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 45,759

Telephone: 847-576-6735  
Fax No.: 847-576-0721